

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/566,188	HARGENS ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	DEVE VALDEZ	1796

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Pending

(1) DEVE VALDEZ.

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Frank Smith.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 25 August 2009

**Time:** 2:30 pm:

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-15

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*A telephone call was made to Frank Smith on August 25, 2009 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement and an election of Group I claims 1-8 was made without traverse.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)